CUTTING PROPERTIES OF DIFFERENT GRAPE VARIETIES

SUMMARY

This study was conducted in order to determine the cutting properties such as cutting force, cutting strength, cutting energy of different grape branch (cutting) as function of moisture content, diameter and variety. The cutting properties were measured at three moisture content levels (35.2, 42.4, and 46 %), four cross-section area (12.56, 28.27, 50.26 and 78.54 mm²) and eight wine grapes varieties (Tannat, Merlot, Cot, Chardonnay, Viognier, Cabernet Sauvignon, Shiraz, Cabernet Franc). The results indicated that cutting force, cutting strength and cutting energy requirement varied from variety to variety. The maximum cutting force, cutting strength and cutting energy were obtained at Cabernet Franc grape variety as 1397.60 N, 21.68 MPa and 3.68 J, respectively. Followed by varieties Shiraz, Cabernet, Viognier Chardonnay, Cot, Merlot and Tannat, respectively. The minimum cutting force, cutting strength and cutting energy were obtained at Tannat grape variety as 981.65 N, 13.94 MPa, and 2.39 J, respectively. This effect could be related to higher stem wall thickness and cross-sectional area of Cabernet Franc compared to the other examined varieties.

Therefore, the obtained data of cutting properties of grape branches are very important for the response of branch to the agricultural operation.
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INTRODUCTION

Turkey is one of the main producers of grapes in the world. It is at sixth rank in grape production with 4 million ton and 550.000 ha production area. It is the biggest exporter of raisin grapes. Each year over 200.000 tons golden coloured raisins is exported all over the world. The grape export is 170.000 ton valued at 133 million $ (Uzun and Bayır, 2008).

Mechanization of agriculture particularly after harvest has been produced big demand on the knowledge of physical and mechanical properties of products.
These properties depend on the species, variety, diameter, maturity, moisture content and cellular structure (Persson, 1987; Nazari Galedar et al., 2008). The variation in the physical properties of plant stalks and the resistance of cutting equipment have to be known in order to understand the behavior of material with respect to different operation of conditions. Knowing those properties will be useful for both manufacturers and consumers of food processing equipment. Especially, information on plant properties and the power or energy requirement of equipment has been very valuable for selecting design and operational parameters (Persson, 1987; Emadi et al., 2004; Voicu et al., 2011; Ghahraei et al., 2011; Hoseinzadeh and Shirneshan, 2012). Perhaps, the stem of plants cutting energy is one of the main parameters for optimizing design of cutting elements in harvesting and pruning machines (Alizadeh et al., 2011). Therefore, comparative performance of cutting elements applied in harvester and pruning machine design can be judge by their cutting energy requirements, cutting force and stress applied (Chakraverty et al., 2003; Alizadeh et al., 2011).

Cutting strength and cutting energy are related to the stem mechanical and physical properties. Therefore, such information is very important for the suitable design of grape pruning knife and pruning machine and harvesters for efficient use of energy.

The objective of this study was to determine the cutting properties (cutting force, cutting strength and cutting power requirement and cutting energy) for eighth different wine grape depending on moisture content and cross-sectional area.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Sample preparation and measuring apparatus

This study was carried out in the Agricultural Machinery Department, Agriculture Faculty, Dicle University, Diyarbakir, Turkey. Eight wine grapes varieties, namely Tannat, Merlot, Cot, Chardonnay, Viognier, Cabernet Sauvignon, Shiraz and Cabernet Franc were selected for cutting properties in the experiment. For doing cutting test, sample of grape branches were obtained from Mezopotamia (commercial farm) Vinyard in Diyarbakır Province (Figure 1). The experiment tests were performed during grape pruning season in 2012 year.

![Figure 1. Experimental Farm Vinyard.](image1)

![Figure 2. Instron universal testing Machine.](image2)
Specimens were kept in the refrigerator at temperature 4°C in laboratory of Agricultural Machinery Department. In order to determine the initial moisture content was determined by using the following equation (ASAE, 2006; Alizadeh et al., 2011). In order to determine the initial moisture content, three samples 30 g were weighed and dried in an oven of 105°C for 24 h and then reweighed. The moisture content was determined by using the following equation (ASAE, 2006; Alizadeh et al., 2011).

\[
MC = \frac{SB - SA}{SB} \times 100
\]

Where:
SB : Sample mass before drying, g.
SA : Sample mass after drying, g.

The moisture content levels were determined as 35.4%, 42.4% and 46% w.b. The experiment results were evaluated according these moisture content values.

Determination of mechanical properties

The Lloyd LRX plus materials testing machine (Figure 2) was used to measure the cutting force and cutting energy. The cutting speed of the machine, 100 mm/min, was used for all tests.

The cutting strength was measured in double shear using a shear apparatus. The maximum shearing strength of the grape branches were determined by dividing the shearing force into two section areas of the branches sides as Equation (Mohsenin 1980; Beyhan 1998; Sessiz 2003; Amer Eissa et al. 2008; Zareiforoush et al. 2010)

\[
\sigma_s = \frac{F_{\text{max}}}{2A}
\]

Where: \( \sigma_s \) is the maximum cutting strength in (MPa), \( F_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum cutting force in (N) and \( A \) is the cross-sectional area of cane in (mm\(^2\)).

Cutting energy was calculated by measuring the surface area under the cutting force-deformation curve (Heidar and Chegini, 2011; Chen et al., 2004; Nazari Galedar et al., 2008; Zareiforoush et al., 2010). The cutting energy and displacement was calculated, by Instron universal testing instrument, of the area under the curve with the help of the force and displacement data by using a NEXYGEN computer program.

From cutting energy data, specific cutting energy was determined by following equation (Heidar and Chegini 2011).

\[
\text{Esc} = \frac{Ec}{A}
\]

Esc: Specific cutting energy, J/mm\(^2\)
Ec: Cutting energy, J
A: Cross-section area, mm\(^2\)

Experimental design and statistical analysis
The experimental results were tested using standard variance analysis (ANOVA) for the randomized complete block design. Cutting properties were determined with 3 replications in each treatment of the canes. Mean separations were made for significant effects with LSD and the means were compared at the 1% and 5% levels of significance using the Tukey multiple range tests in JAMP software.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The effect of grape varieties on cutting force, cutting strength and cutting energy are presented in Table 1. Variance analysis and result of tukey test show that the difference between varieties were found significant (p<0.05). The results indicated that cutting force, cutting strength and cutting energy requirement varied from variety to variety. The maximum cutting force, cutting strength and cutting energy were obtained at Cabernet Franc grape variety as 1397.60 N, 21.68 MPa and 3.68 J, respectively. Followed by varieties Shiraz, Cabernet, Viognier Chardonnay, Cot, Merlot and Tannat, respectively. The minimum cutting force, cutting strength and cutting energy were obtained at Tannat grape variety as 981.65 N, 13.94 MPa, and 2.39 J, respectively. This effect could be related to higher stem wall thickness and cross-sectional area of Cabernet Franc compared to the other examined varieties.

The average cutting strength of Cabernet Franc was about 1.5 time’s greater than Tannat variety. There were big differences among varieties in terms of cutting force, cutting strength and cutting energy. Tukey test results (Table 1) shows that shear strength and cutting energy between Viognier, Cabernet Sauvignon and Shiraz varieties in %5 level has not significant difference. This side, there was not found significant different between Chardonnay and Cot. The values of Tannat and Merlot shows that the similar properties and there was not found difference teheese varieties as statistically (Table 1). This difference is due to different physical, mechanical and physiological properties of stem varieties (Esehaghbeigi et al., 2009; Hoseinzadeh and Shirneshan, 2012). These informations are very valuable for selecting suitable equipment design. Selection of suitable cutting apparatues and equipment plays an important role economizing on cutting force requirement. So, we must consider such as grape variety, variety stem diameter, physical and mechanical properties.

As can be seen from the table, the maximumum strength was obtained at Cabernet Franc variety, while the minimum cutting strength was obtained at Tannat and Melot varieties. The maximum values of cutting energy were found at Cabernet Franc variety. Variety Tannat is observed less cutting energy, when compared to the rest of varieties.

The results of Tukey tests showed that there were found significant difference between mean values of cutting energy from variety to variety. The grape variety Cabernet Franc is approved as the strongest, but the variety Tanna, Merlot and Cot as the weakest material in shear cutting. These studies showed
that cutting energy is related to the stem physical and mechanical properties. When designing a pruning machine, we should consider these properties.

Table 1: The relationship between average cutting properties and grape varieties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grape varieties</th>
<th>Cutting Force (N)</th>
<th>Cutting Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Cutting Energy (J)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tannat</td>
<td>981.65&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.94&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.39&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merlot</td>
<td>1011.97&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.98&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.64&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cot</td>
<td>1049.65&lt;sup&gt;cd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14.29&lt;sup&gt;cd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.90&lt;sup&gt;cd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chardonnay</td>
<td>1145.06&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15.83&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.05&lt;sup&gt;bcd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viognier</td>
<td>1158.07&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16.13&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.33&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabernet Sauvignon</td>
<td>1166.68&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16.47&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.38&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiraz</td>
<td>1214.42&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16.55&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.48&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabernet Franc</td>
<td>1394.60&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.68&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.68&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1140.25</td>
<td>16.11</td>
<td>3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>97.88</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.472</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Means followed by the same letter in each column are not significantly different by Tukey multiple range test at the 5% level.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this study, the cutting properties of eight different grape varieties were experimentally investigated. The average cutting strength varied between 13.94 MPa-21.68 MPa. The cross-section area and moisture content of grape cane has significant influence on cutting force, cutting strength and cutting energy. Cutting energy values varied from 2.39 J-3.68 J. Also, results showed that the average cutting force, cutting strength and cutting energy of Cabernet Franc variety were significantly higher than rest of the varieties. The data obtained from the cutting tests of grape canes can be used in the design and development of an experimental prototype cutting machine.
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